WHY THE KING IS NAKED...AGAIN?

Humanity is proud of modern technical and scientific achievements and believes that at present we elevated human intelligence to the highest level in the entire existence of the human race. I don't want to discredit the technical achievements of modern society. In the technical aspect, we probably manage to surpass most human societies that existed before us. I have to make clear – I say "probably" because there is an organized cover-up on the history of the Earth, the history of Life, and the history of all previous human civilizations. Some very revealing artifacts as spaceships, motorized vehicles, tanks, helicopters, submarines, and human skeletons with superior brain cavities are hidden from the public. Millions of such revealing artifacts are confiscated from the authorities in order to disappear without a trace.



This is a millions-year-old ancient microchip embedded in rock

Only this single piece of evidence will be enough for an intelligent person to understand the truth!

Despite our technical achievements the facts clearly point out that our modern society is suffering major intellectual, moral, and cultural degradation. After the collapse of human civilizations about 12,000 years ago from the so-called "Great Flood" the broken human society start emerging and re-organizing from the total destruction. The pick of modern cultural and scientific knowledge was the time when the library of Alexandria was functioning as a World scientific institute, where bright minds shared and recorded their work. At this time, humanity was producing bright and incredibly intelligent philosophers, scientists, and mathematicians. At present, only a person who understands philosophy will be able to understand the colossal intelligence and the achievements of the ancient philosophers. Without any telescopes and scientific instruments, those colossi of human intelligence were able to understand the World and the Universe better than us - How these philosophers were able to find the reason and the purpose of every detail of the Universe? - They were able to read the mind of the creator of this Universe and understand the purpose of life and existence – something which our modern society does not understand! The tragedy is there, where we not only don't understand the World, but we not only stubbornly refuse to understand, but we are stupid enough to punish anybody who is trying to give us such knowledge. - Just remember what our "modern society" has done to the library of Alexandria and to Giordano Bruno? Giordano Bruno has been an incredibly gifted and intelligent person. He was able to conduct discussions and

provide scientific lectures on all major European languages – Italian, Spanish, German, French, and English. He succeeds in raising ancient knowledge to a new level and constructing a more realistic picture of our

Universe – much better than our current understanding of the Universe. My question is why do we burn this intelligent man? – Why we are regarded as the worst criminals the people who want to give us knowledge? We tortured him for eight years with the aim of forcing him publicly to denounce his theory and the model of the Universe. Despite the continuous torture Bruno refuses to denounce his theory and instead, he proudly chooses to face cruel and violent death. He suffered and died for us with a desire to give us an example of how important and valuable the correct understanding of the World is for humanity and its future.

We have to realize that at present our secret services continue eliminating and killing the people who are trying to give us truth, knowledge, and wisdom. Shamelessly we cherish and canonize their killers and nobody protesting against this - (Recently, the Cardinal who signed Giordano Bruno's death sentence was canonized). – Why? What is his contribution to "God" and humanity? How did the killer become "Holly" for us?

We certainly have good technical achievements, but the machinery is not the criteria for intelligent thinking. How intelligent we are at present? What the criteria for intelligence will be?

I will start the consideration of this question with a few examples:

The first thing that the "Great" Julius Caesar did in Egypt was to burn the Library of Alexandria, where has been collected and preserved the ancient knowledge. We need to realize that the burning of this priceless Library was not a single event but was part of the continuous and methodical burning of every collection of ancient knowledge. This burning continues through the millenniums in Europe and at the end of our "Modern Society" has been left with an understanding of the World only how much the Bible can provide. The problem is there, that even the Bible is not a product of the religious society, but is a political doctrine assembled under the supervision and guide, and censorship of a Roman Emperor. The Egyptian delegation led by Arius, which brings the surviving sacred original writings of many Apostles has been arrested, tortured, and sent into exile. Their priceless scrolls have been burned.

The suppression of knowledge from our "Modern Society" manages to wipe out all ancient knowledge. For example, the Phoenicians and Minoans traveled on a regular basis across the Atlantic, Indian, and Pacific Oceans. The evidence for this is that 40% of Egyptian Pharaohs have been found that they use Cocaine and Tobacco, which originated in America only. Also, the Minoans have been using and exploiting copper mines in Canada, where their workers have been up to 30,000. At present, there is silence on the finding of a series of Egyptian rock carvings in the Blue Mountain near Sydney - Australia.

How did our "Modern Society" manage to wipe out the vital knowledge for the existence of the other Continents and "Proudly Claim" that Christopher Columbus "discovered" America in the 15th century? Drawn from ancient maps, the World map of Turkish Admiral Piri Reis in 1515 clearly shows the continents of America and Antarctica, which our "Modern Society managed to "Discover" in 1820.

The level of our intelligence we can judge when we compare the intelligence and capability of the great composers of classical music and our present ability to compose music.


We are training an army of musicians in the music academies. We have the best musical instruments, and sound recording equipment, which makes complex musical composition more easy task. Despite this, in the last 100 years, nobody has been able to compose complex and nice music as "Classical Music" - Why? Where the problem is? What had happened with our "Superiority" and our "Great Intelligence"? How our recent ancestors have been able just with their imagination to hear every instrument in the symphony orchestra; to line them up in perfect harmony with each other and to produce the best complex

see in modern popular music – The beautiful sound of groups such as Beatles, Rolling Stones, Eagle, Smoky, Boney M, and Abba is an echo of the past. Currently, there is no one good and popular group in modern music – The ability to compose complex sounds and melodies has disappeared! Why?

Probably, the best example of our current level of intelligence will be the example of who we are regarding as the most intelligent person of our time and the reason for this.

If you ask ten people the question - who is the smartest and most intelligent person of all time, nine of them will tell you – Einstein. "OK", but I want to explain that Einstein is responsible for our wrong understanding of the World and how absurd and false are the principles promoted by this supposed to be an intelligent man. Anyway, who is Einstein? - Einstein struggled to graduate and succeed only with the help of his future wife Milena, who was a brilliant mathematician and Physicist. Einstein also struggled to get a job as a Physicist until his wife – Milena, wrote him a public lecture, which opened the door for his scientific career. The blueprint of this public lecture has been found to be in the handwriting of Milena. The most intriguing detail in this story is that Einstein's mum has been a house servant to the richest and most influential Jewish billionaire – Rothschild.







Einstein's wife Milena

Einstein

Einstein's Mum

This is the obvious connection behind the miracle of Einstein's quick rise to the top echelon in Physics. At the beginning of the 20th century, the Rothschild's protégé (Einstein) joined forces with a Belgium priest with the aim to re-invent the origin of the Universe. These two alleged "scientists" came out with the dumbest idea, that Space is "Nothing", Time is "Nothing", Gravity is "Nothing", Particles are "Massless"=(Nothing) and The Universe can come out from "Nothing". Everybody knows that from "Nothing" is coming out only Nothing, and fundamental elements of the Universe cannot be "Nothing", because "Nothing" cannot produce "Something" as a physical system, and "Nothing" cannot interact in a physical way with "Nothing"! Despite the obvious nonsense, these alleged two "Geniuses" who were enjoying the financial support of the Jewish Financial Elite have succeeded in producing the most scrappy and illogical theories in the history of the human race - The Big Bang Theory and the General Relativity. The scientific world was shocked, but with the support of money, mass media, and the top echelon of selected key figures Einstein was elevated to the status of "revolutionary visionary", who give us "the best", and the most "credible" and "brilliant" explanation – that our World comes out from "Nothing" and the fundamental elements of the Universe is also "Nothing", and that there is no single fixed reference point in the Universe, where everything is floating around in a chaotic manner. Such a view wasn't shared by hundreds of thousands of honest scientists, but they were brutally suppressed. In the end, the money prevails and science was directed toward a dead-end road.

Einstein proposes that:

- 1. Space is "Nothing" Just a vacuum without any physical property.
- 2. He did not explain what Time is and if Time has physical property... Also how this "mysterious" Time makes the Physical processes in the Universe irreversible?
- 3. Space and Time form "Space-time" (but he does not explain what Time is and how Time can mix with "Nothing" to produce fundamental elements as Space-time?)
- 4. There is no reference point in space. (The lack of reference points in space making impossible to determine which object is traveling and which is stationary.)
- 5. Gravity is not a force; Gravity does not exist; Gravity is an effect of the Curvature of Space.
- 6. Massive objects are curving Space, (but he doesn't explain what is "This" which is "Curving the Space")
- 7. The Photons are mass-less.
- 8. The speed of light is the ultimate speed limit in the Universe.
- 9. The speed of light is constant regardless of the speed of the emitting body.
- 10. The faster an object is traveling the more Time is slowing down and is freezing at the speed of light.
- 11. Near a center of strong Gravity, Time is slowing down.
- 12. Gravity will produce "Gravitational Waves" in Space, (but he doesn't explain how "Nothing" can wave) From our ancestors, we have naïve, funny but very good stories for the cases when highly educated people propose absurd postulates This is the story of "The New Robe of the King" where everybody pretending to be smart starts to admire the "King's new dress" and only a small and innocent child star shouting "The King is Naked" … "The King is Naked"!

Let's see how good our "Modern" knowledge is and is our "best knowledge" has the potential to put us in a situation similar to the story about the "Kung New Clothes"?

I will start with the three important components of Einstein's Theory of Relativity – Space, Time, and Gravity. Despite the complex mathematical speculations of the Theory, Einstein does not provide any explanation of these fundamental elements – Why? How he can build a Theory with unexplained fundamental elements?

- Einstein insists that Gravity does not exist, and also does not provide an explanation of Space property

 (Space is just an empty space "Nothing") Despite that, Einstein explains Gravity with the
 "Curvature" of Space. But wait a minute! He insists that some invisible Force is curving "Nothing"
 (Space) and this bent "Nothing" is forcing the massive physical objects as the planet to rotate around the Sun? He tries to explain something which he doesn't know with something he doesn't understand!
- Further! His theory officially is "Confirmed" on the basis that Photons are "Mass-less" I am sorry, <u>But</u> "Massless" is equal to "Nothing" and "Nothing" cannot have <u>Physical interactions!</u>
- Einstein says the Time of fast traveling object is slowing down? The Kid will ask If there is no reference point in space, how did Einstein measure the speed of the traveling objects? They are traveling according to What? Which object is traveling and which is stationary? This proposal is a paradox (absurd) and with paradoxes, you cannot explain "Anything" (Says the Kid)
- Einstein insists that the Time of objects near strong gravity is slowing down. The Kid will scream again But Einstein insists that Gravity does not exist! How Non-existing Force Can Slow Down Time?
- <u>•</u> Einstein predicts that strong Gravity will produce "Gravitational Waves in Space" Our Kid will be shocked and will scream again − <u>But Einstein insists that Space is not a physical medium! − How</u>

Absolute Vacuum can produce waves and will react to non-existing forces? – This is a Bu....it! And on the end, we will consider the validity of the assumption that Photons are Mass-less because this is has been used as a single "Proof" that Einstein's theory is correct. – Really? I am not sure! Einstein knew very well that Photons have mass – He received a Nobel Prize for the "Photoelectric Effect" which is the phenomenon, where photons when hit a metal plate ejecting electrons.

Actually, prior to publishing this concept, Einstein made a legal contract with his wife, (which Einstein was treating as a house servant) that if she helped him to get a Nobel Prize, all the money would be given to Milena. Milena has done her job, and Einstein received a Nobel Prize, but Einstein didn't give her the money. Instead, he gives her for a while only the interest of the money. Is not a secret that Einstein replaced his wife with his lady Cousin. – This is the ethical and moral standard of our "smartest and most intelligent" person in modern times! (I will restrict myself to making comments.)

And now we have to continue with physics: - Are photons "Massless"?

- "Mass-less" particles will never be able to dislodge electrons captured in the metal structure.
- Furthermore lately NASA and other private companies are producing "Photon Engines" These engines work on the base of ejecting photons as a propellant of a rocket engine.
- Modern scientific laboratories are able to measure that photons are applying pressure on an illuminated surface. So... that means that the Photons have Momentum...
 But even a high school boy will say that Momentum is a combination of mass and speed! Without mass will be no momentum!


As a result of this scrappy theory, we are bombarded with invented impossible properties of the Universe – We are told that there is a "Dark Matter" that is holding together the elliptical Galaxies not to disintegrate from the centrifugal force of their rotation. The question is: Why modern science is not commenting on the question - What is keeping the gravitational balance of such massive and ancient celestial structures as Globular Clusters? Why they are not collapsing due to Gravitational attraction? Why there is silence? If there is 5 times more Dark Matter in the Universe, what happened with the Gravitational Constant, and why the Universe is not collapsing by the gravity of this extra mass? Instead of this "They" proposing the exact opposite - That the Universe is expanding with ever-increasing speed and there must be another "invisible" substance called "Dark Force" which contain 74% of the mass of the Universe...Well...well...well...but there is one "Small" problem with this proposal – the positive gravity of the ordinary matter and the "negative" gravity of Dark Force must cancel each other and only the dominant will exist! They are not explaining how the "Negative" and "Positive" Gravity can co-exist without canceling each other?

My question is if we are intelligent how are we accepting this bunch of conflicting properties to be our "Best" explanation of the World?

Our distorted perception of the World is not finishing with Physics and Astronomy; we don't understand the basic principles of nature and biological life.

The origin of life is probably the most important question for our understanding of the world. Because of its importance, the official narrative is designed to create confusion. Like everything important, in the official explanations always are inserted hidden agendas. It is important to know the origin of life, but it is also good to know the details and the origin of the theory that explains the origin of life. This will give an indication of the possible inserted agenda which is serving the authorities, policies, or special interests. The Elite are not far behind exploiting this vital question for its own purpose - to create confusion and disorganize the population. It is not an accident that the most popular theory of nature, promoted by the media as "the most credible"

and "scientific," comes from a person who "mysteriously" was awarded the rare privilege of having a privately funded scientific expedition by ship around the world. Probably you have already guessed who this person is – Charles Darwin.



Why do they portray this man always as an old and wise man, when the Damage to humanity he was done when he was in his 20th?

This is a remarkable story because is stinking. Every intelligent person would start to worry when learned that Charles Darwin was only a 22-year art student with no formal education in biology when was inserted to be the head of four four-year <u>scientific expedition</u> around the World.

It is a very revealing "coincidence" that later Niels Bohr, the author of the other fundamental doctrine for our understanding of the world - the "Copenhagen Interpretation," of Physics was also privately funded. He had a rare opportunity to have his own privately funded institute of Physics.

Nobody else from the scientific community in the past has had the luxury of such generous funding...And the outcome of this "generosity" was the production of the two fundamentally incorrect (but very comfortable for the elite) theories for our understanding of the world – The origin of the Universe and the Origin of Life. It is a striking coincidence, also, that both - the Big Bang and Evolution Theory are using the same method to "prove" their version of the origin of the world and the origin of life – they are spreading confusion by craftily working backward and by ignoring fundamental principles and evidence constructing their impossible and false fundamental assumptions.


It is a widely held opinion that Darwin is the author of the idea of the adaptation and evolution of species, but this is wrong. At the time of the publication of his papers, the scientific community had a good understanding and enough evidence for the natural process of evolution. For thousands of years, people have bred different domesticated animals and plants using the technique of selection. The claims that Darwin discovered this is absolutely incorrect. The fact is that the young Charles Darwin (22y. old), who studies art and hasn't been even a biologist has been privately funded and sent on HMAS Beagle with a "special" mission. - On his return before he publishes anything he has been promoted to celebrity status by the media, and given a Treasury grant of about \$150,000 (present value)! - These facts reveal who really stands behind his "story" because the concept of Darwin's theory is "the survival of the fittest," which actually postulates that violence is the fundamental principle and reason for the evolution of life and progress of humanity! This doctrine has been adopted by the elite and brings us endless wars, suffering, and inequality. After 23 years in 1859, Charles Darwin finally delivered what he had been paid to deliver - he published the book, 'On the Origin of Species.' The main concept of his theory is the assumption that life on Earth has evolved by chance from a mixture of organic compounds in the primordial pool. The assumption is that all living organisms have common ancestors from the past. The evolution of living organisms is done by natural selection by preserving and accumulating minor advantages in mutations of organisms and passing them to the next generations. This preservation of minor advantages enables organisms to compete and adapt better to the natural environment changes. We should consider very carefully these fundamental concepts in this Theory because they are violating the two fundamental principles in biology – The "Minimum Life Complex" and the principle of "Random Mutations"

The "Minimum Life Complex" is the minimum number of organs for an organism to function. In short, this means that even the most primitive single cell to be able to function must develop simultaneously at least 20

fully developed well functioning, and well coordinated with each other organs. The proposal is that the slow gradual evolution will provide the necessary organs one by one spread a part centuries and millenniums. - Such a scenario is impossible! We have to realize that the most primitive single cell is an incredibly complex biological system – To survive, the single cell must be able to sense the environment, to move, to find food, to process food, expel out waste products, and replicate itself. We will consider only one of them with the aim of realizing how complex and specific functions each of these organs must have. – The outer membrane for example. The membrane must be water-based and water-resistant. The membrane must be non-penetrative. The membrane must be flexible and provide locomotion. The membrane must have censors and valves to pass in only the wanted substances (Food) and to block entry of everything else. The membrane must have valves and pumps to expel the waste products.

If the membrane lacks even one of the necessary functions the organism cannot function. The same is valid for all other organs of the single-cell organism. A leading biologist states that even the most primitive biological cell is a well-coordinated chemical laboratory, more complex than any modern chemical factory! On top of this complexity, the single-cell organism must have a program and communication center to coordinate the functions of its system. The single cell also must have a program and system for reproduction. We have to realize, that this complexity must arise and be formed at once - fully functioning and able to reproduce itself! Is this possible to happen by chance in a primordial muddy pool? — With all our modern technology we still are not able to produce a living single cell. If we decide to produce only a cell membrane, we will end with computerized machinery with size of a bus! How did the primordial pool of mud manage to squeeze this complex machinery to such a microscopic size? - To find out the truth, we will consider some revealing facts, that the Establishments are hiding very carefully, and only when we get the full picture only then we will get to a conclusion.

And now... we have to come back to our main subject - the origin of life. We have to consider the origin of life on Earth with an open mind and without any prejudice because the origin of life has only two possible scenarios: Spontaneous or Introduced. Either of these two possibilities needs solid evidence to be accepted as the most credible explanation for the origin of life on planet Earth. It is important to know that the biological life on Earth has a single origin – regardless it is introduced or spontaneously evolved, this has happened only once in the entire history of Earth, and all biological life is related to each other!



The problem is that the biological record and the new understanding of organisms' true genetics do not support the Darwinian theory for the origin of life, because the enormous complexity of a single cell cannot be explained by the spontaneous organizing of chemical compounds into such a highly sophisticated biological system which even the most primitive single cell possesses. The fact is that the DNA of the most primitive cells surpasses the complexity and sophistication of all software programs on Earth. Darwin's proposal is that such

sophisticated and logically constructed software of biological life can arise spontaneously from the randomly mixing of chemicals in the primordial pool of water. This is an absurd proposition because DNA mutation actually damages the functional order of the existing DNA! I will explain this –DNA is a sophisticated program – much more sophisticated than the software of our computers. From our experience with computers, we know that any random bit of information that comes from the internet and goes into the Windows software we are called a "Virus". These "Viruses" are always harmful and destructive to our program. If somebody is trying to convince you that by the spontaneous introduction of "Viruses," your Window10 will evolve into Window 11 or become a more sophisticated program you will call him – "Stupid", because the chances of useful viruses against harmful are one against trillions! And the chances of your window becoming more sophisticated by absorbing random viruses is the same as you playing a "Lottery" and winning repeatedly and continuously millions and millions of times with odds of one against trillions, where even one mistake will destroy your program! – Such an explanation an intelligent man will never accept!

There also is a huge problem with the discontinuity in the developmental line of the specimens through the past geological periods. According to Darwin, we should observe a continuous, uninterrupted slow, and continuous development of all spicy, but we are observing the sudden appearance and disappearance of fully developed organisms. The claim that the evidence has not been found yet is not evidence for the correctness of Darwin's theory of the origin of life. We have a very rich paleontological record from all geological periods, a very good record even for single-cell organisms far back to 4.1 billion years ago, but the common ancestors of the complex-cell organisms are just not there. This is a very significant fact.

We have witnessed in the last 4 billion years the continuous existence of bacteria which is not evolving, but we never witnessed any gradual developments of complex-cell organisms, where different colonies of bacteria is transforming themselves into specialized organs with specific body functions.

So... what the truth is?

I will suggest you sit down in your chair and tie up your seatbelt because the following information will shake you to the core and will destroy everything you have been teaching about the origin of life and the Universe. Of course, this will happen only if you are an intelligent person who possesses healthy logic, and has the ability to accept the truth based on facts and logic. But... I want to make it clear! - I am not promoting anything! I don't want you to even believe me! – I want you only to check the validity of the facts which I am presenting and to use your own brain to make sense of these facts! – I want you to make sense of and make your logical conclusion no matter how bizarre the result will be!

I will start with the finding of biologists that life on Earth has a common origin. What does this mean? – This means that the cells of our body, the cells of every plant, animal, and single bacteria are virtually the same. The cells have different functions, but the basic structural pattern is the same. – For example, we are developed from "Stem Cells" which by the instructions of the DNA form different organs, but the cells are the same!

I have to admit that is very, very logical to assume that the early appeared single cells evolved into more complex organisms. This is logical, but life is full of surprises and we first have to see the facts, consider them carefully, and then jump to a conclusion.

It is well-known that the first appeared bacteria on Earth still exists to the present day without significant changes. These bacteria are forming the life support system of the biological life on the planet and if they evolve, the so-called "Food Chain" will collapse. These bacteria are transforming the Earth from lifeless rock into a well-balanced biological system. The bio-system of Earth is supported by these bacteria and thanks God these bacteria look like are "designed" not to evolve! – The question is why? Why these bacteria are not evolving in the last 4 billion years? – This is a significant fact in our jigsaw puzzle, which we will live open for the moment with the hope that it will fit in the picture later.

Now we will consider the complexity of DNA because DNA is a sophisticated logically constructed informational order. DNA is the program of life! - According to the Theory of Evolution everything must start from primitive forms and gradually increase its sophistication and form more complex and sophisticated organisms – isn't it?

The answer is definitely - NO! It is exactly the opposite!

This is the first major shock in our consideration which clashes violently with the established narrative of evolution. How come? It doesn't make sense?... Don't be so fast! – It will make perfect sense later. The complexity and sophistication of DNA is measured by the length of the molecule, and its base pairs. Human DNA contains approximately 3 billion base pairs. Surprisingly, we are using only a small fraction of our DNA - about 2% only. The rest 98% is called "Junk" DNA. Modern science has no good understanding of the presence and potential functions of such a vast amount of unused DNA. (We will remember this and also will live aside this fact until it fits in the picture).

Scientists are puzzled by the fact that the quantity and sophistication of the DNA are in the reverse sequence to the sophistication of organisms. This is not an isolated incident, but it is everywhere! It is a trend where the more ancient and primitive organisms have the most complex and sophisticated DNA package.

I will start with Humans – we regard ourselves as the most advanced organisms on our planet with the largest brains and logical and abstract thinking, but we have "only" 3 billion base pairs in our DNA.

Compared to humans, The Onion has 5 times more DNA – about 16 billion base pairs. We have to ask ourselves: According to the Theory of Evolution, the only scenario for the Onion to have a such sophisticated package of DNA is for the Onion to be a more advanced organism than us in the past and for some mysterious reason to devolve in its present state. We know that the Onion never had been a more advanced organism than humans and the scenario of the Theory of Evolution does not provide the origin of its sophisticated DNA package. So... the question is who is wrong, the nature, or the theory? We know that Nature cannot be wrong! Ok, this was only one example and if it is an isolated case, we cannot make fundamental assumptions, but if this trend continues and is widespread then we cannot ignore it.

Here are the next examples:

<u>The small salamander - called Axolotl</u>. It is very ancient spicy – something between fish and land animals. It is famous for its incredible ability to regenerate severed limbs and organs. Such ability is a result of Axolotl's enormous package of DNA - it has 32 billion base pairs of DNA, which is 10 times more than the human package of DNA. I suspect that when we form our body, the stem cells are screening the DNA and allowing only DNA with specific functions to form different organs. In this way, our body is losing the information and ability to re-grow missing organs. We don't have to be unhappy with this outcome, because specialization makes the different organs function in a more responsive and efficient way.



Axolotl

The next example is the ancient three Ginkgo Biloba. It appears in the geological strata about 290 million years ago. The three have about 41 billion base pairs, which is 13 times more DNA than humans. This huge package of DNA is giving this tree a very interesting ability. In scientific research in China, a 600-year-old Gingko Biloba tree has been studied and the scientists didn't find any sign of aging. On top of this surprising ability, the three have very complex antibacterial properties, which makes them very resilient survivors. Chinese medicine uses leaf extract to treat various health conditions.



Ginkgo Biloba

<u>The champion in the plant world is the plant called</u> – Paris Japonika This plant is classified to be in the Fern family, which is one of the first living plants on Earth. It has 159 billion base pairs and the length of a single strain of DNA stretches up to 91 meters! This is 50 times larger than human DNA.

The Paris Japonica is a very slow-growing plant. The biologists state that the slow growth is a result of the enormous package of DNA, which is taking time to be replicated in the new sells. – This is very useful information that can give us a clue for the mechanism that plants are using for survival.



Paris Japonika

And now is the time to revile the ultimate champion of DNA sophistication on Earth – As I stated in the beginning – hold on to your chair because a huge surprise is coming! – The organism with the most sophisticated package of DNA is an ancient single-cell amoeba called – Polychaos Dubium. It has 670 billion base pairs of DNA, which is 200 times larger than human DNA. The amoebas are single-cell organisms from the class of Eukaryote bacteria – 2.2by old.



Amoeba


<u>Eukaryote is the first single-cell organism that contains a nucleus and DNA</u>, which appeared about 2.2 billion years ago. All plants, algae, fungi, and animals are made from eukaryote cells.

And now the most important question is: - Eukaryote bacteria appear suddenly (from nowhere) without any sign of predecessors or slow evolution because it is 10,000 larger than the existing bacteria called prokaryotes, which do not have nuclei and DNA at all! – This is the smoking gun! - This is the most revealing fact that is telling us that the only option and credible scenario is that life on Earth is introduced!

But hang on; I have more surprises to revile:

A study a few years ago produced a very surprising result. – Scientists put plants in a difficult environment and start observing how the plants will react to the newly introduced challenges.

Scientists have found that most plants have died, but a few of them manage to survive. The surprising result was that the surviving plants shade off some existing functions in order to concentrate on the functions that they need to survive. For example – the plants, which has been planted in the shade of the forest canopy stop producing side branches and put all the effort into growing up and reaching the sunlight. In this process the plants instead of inventing and developing new functions, they simply shade the unwanted functions and get from their Junk DNA ready useful functions. This study sheds light on the puzzle of why primitive organisms have more DNA than advanced organisms. – Because the process of sophistication turns out to be the exact opposite of the Darwin proposal. We found that the most sophisticated package of DNA is in the first single cell. Every time, when a particular organism faces an obstacle, this organism dumps the unwanted functions (parts of DNA) and gets new and more appropriate functions from its package of "Junk" DNA. In this way, the organisms are finding quickly new functions that help them to survive. By pulling out new good functions some organisms manage to survive; the others, which are pulling out wrong functions, perish! Finally, we have in front of us the facts, which make sense and explain every detail of the appearance, progress, and sophistication of the biological life on planet Earth... but what this scenario is telling us? What is the logical conclusion based on these facts?



The picture above is a visual explanation of how the advancement of biological life works. On the bottom is the first and the most primitive single cell – the amoeba, which has been used for the introduction of life on Earth. In this single cell has been inserted an enormous package of DNA. In this package is included an endless possibility of different forms of biological organisms. Once life has been introduced it has been left alone the nature to take its course. How does this work? - Different cells fall in different environments which form their body shape and body functions. That's how in the soil the worms are adopted, in the water the fish is thriving, on the land the grazing animals are dominant and the birds take to the air. The living organisms are dumping the unwanted parts of DNA and going in their chosen way. Every time the organism needs to adapt to environmental challenges, every time it dumps out some parts of DNA and pulls out from its reserve new functions. In this way, the package of DNA slowly, but gradually is getting smaller and smaller. This is the reason why advanced organisms have less DNA than the more ancient and primitive life forms. But don't be alarmed, whoever designed the primordial package of DNA has done an incredibly good job. In this package is included nearly endless possibilities. For example, after 4 billion years of advancing and shading unwanted parts of DNA, we humans have achieved incredible body sophistication. We cannot dream of having a better body for our tasks and way of life. We can swim, dive, climb mountains, walk in the Desert, and live in extremely cold conditions. We can survive on any type of food and even we are relatively smart! We have to realize, that this is not the end of our sophistication, because we are using only 2% of our DNA and we have in reserve another 98% of it. Can you imagine how far and sophisticated we, humans can be if we don't erase ourselves out of existence?

In the end, I have to encourage you - don't be afraid to admit the truth! Make your logical conclusions from presented facts and trust our judgment. If all the evidence is pointing toward introduced life, you must have the courage to admit it and to ask the question: Who has introduced biological life on Earth and why? There are only two options – "God" or Alien super-intelligence.

I have to admit that I do not have strait forward answer to this question because this subject is very

complicated. I can tell only that I have found undeniable evidence of alien presence on Earth, which dates back up to 50 million years. There is not much evidence that alien civilizations haven't been here much, much longer - since the beginning of Earth's chapter – 3.8 billion years ago. The problem is there, where I am relying on hard evidence, and constructing a theory on the base of assumption is not in my character. – All I can say is that biological life on Earth is introduced and there is no such thing as Darwinian Evolution!



This is a very disturbing artifact. Please, don't get a nervous breakdown. It is Real!

The remaining question is - do I have some facts which prove or disprove the existence of God?

I do have very good facts but the answer is not making any difference to the questions of this article.

All I have to say is that - Society, which rejects the truth, burns books, kills its most valuable individuals, rejects reason, and embraces nonsense, corruption, and immorality has no future!

There are endless ancient artifacts confirming that mass stupidity always leads to a dead end!

This can be the explanation for the "disturbing" artifact above. – You can say that it is a hoax, but you know that this is not true!



Who was driving motorized vehicles a million years ago? Is this also be a hoax?

Valentin Malinov